

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/828,889	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SIBRAI, ANDREAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ann T. Hoang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2836	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Ann T. Hoang.

 (2) Stephen B. Ackerman.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2006
**Time:** 3:30 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 12-15, 33, 37, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner proposed changes to claims in order to address objections regarding minor informalities. Attorney approved an examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)